

City Council Meeting Minutes

**March 7, 2017
City Hall, Council Chambers
749 Main Street
7:00 PM**

Call to Order – Mayor Pro Tem Lipton called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

Roll Call was taken and the following members were present:

City Council:
***Mayor Robert Muckle
Mayor Pro Tem Jeff Lipton
Councilmember Jay Keany
Councilmember Chris Leh
Councilmember Susan Loo
Councilmember Dennis Maloney
Councilmember Ashley Stolzmann***

Staff Present:
***Malcolm Fleming, City Manager
Heather Balser, Deputy City Manager
Kevin Watson, Finance Director
Joe Stevens, Director of Parks & Recreation
Kurt Kowar, Director of Public Works
Rob Zuccaro, Director of Planning & Building Safety
Kristin Dean, Principal Planner
Lauren Trice, Planner II
David Baril, Head Golf Professional
David Dean, Golf Superintendent
Meredyth Muth, City Clerk***

Others Present: ***Sam Light, City Attorney***

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All rose for the pledge of allegiance lead by Ms. Courson's first grade class from Coal Creek Elementary.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Mayor Muckle called for changes to the agenda and hearing none, moved to approve the agenda, seconded by Councilmember Stolzmann. All were in favor.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None.

APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT AGENDA

Councilmember Stolzmann asked that Item C be removed and put on the end of the regular agenda. Mayor Muckle moved to approve the consent agenda as amended, seconded by Councilmember Stolzmann. All were in favor.

- A. *Approval of Bills***
- B. *Approval of Minutes: February 7, 2017; February 21, 2017***
- C. *Approval of 6:00 PM Start Time for March 21, 2017 City Council Meeting for an Executive Session***
- D. *Approval of 2017 Fuel Purchase***
- E. *Approval of Contract Between the City of Louisville and Merrick & Company for the Howard Berry Water Treatment Plant Upgrades Design***
- F. *Approval of Consulting Services Agreement for Cost Reasonableness Analysis for Coal Creek Golf Course Disaster Recovery Project***

COUNCIL INFORMATIONAL COMMENTS ON PERTINENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

None.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Fleming noted the City got six responses to the request for proposal for the Construction Manager/General Contractor for the Recreation Center/Memory Square Expansion. Firms will be interviewed and a contract brought back to Council for consideration. An ordinance regarding the bond sale will be brought to Council for consideration on March 21. Design work continues for the project and the expansion is on track for completion by the fall of 2018.

Councilmember Loo asked if interest rates hike will affect the bond sale. City Manager Fleming stated it could so staff is moving quickly to get the sale complete.

REGULAR BUSINESS

RESOLUTION NO. 6, SERIES 2017 – A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MCCASLIN BOULEVARD SMALL AREA PLAN – PUBLIC HEARING (advertised *Daily Camera* 2/12/17) – continued from 10/18/16, 11/1/16, 12/6/16, 1/17/17 & 2/7/17

Mayor Muckle re-opened the public hearing.

Director Zuccaro stated based on Council direction from the February 7, 2017 meeting, staff has made the following changes to the McCaslin Boulevard Small Area Plan:

- Removed the Transit Oriented Development (TOD) areas from the maps on pages 17 and 23;
- Removed the reference to TOD under the description of “Center” type development on page 17; and
- Updated the TOD language on page 27 to include background information on the McCaslin BRT station and TOD in general, but the language states the Small Area Plan does not address TOD policies. The updated language also explains any future TOD development not supported by current policy could pursue a Comprehensive Plan amendment and changes to zoning.

Staff has made no other changes to the Plan from the version presented on February 7, 2017.

Director Zuccaro noted this has been advertised and is the public hearing. He reviewed how staff took public input on the Plan over the past two years including:

- Place Making Workshop
- Walkability Audit
- Alternatives Review
- EnvisionLouisvilleCO.com
- Surveys
- PC and CC Public Hearings

He reviewed the options the Council has tonight:

- Adopt as submitted;
- Adopt with final edits directed to staff; or
- If Council desires additional information, provide staff specific direction on information requested and continue adoption hearing.

Mayor Muckle asked for public comments

Jeff Sheets, 5291 East Yale Avenue, Denver, representing Koelbel and Company, stated Koelbel is the majority land owner in Centennial Valley. He noted they own product in each planning area in the Plan. He stated he thinks the Plan is based on incorrect information about the marketplace. He does not support the Plan as it is written and feels it may harm some of these planning areas. He does not think it is positive for property owners and it won't energize development in the area. He would like a policy statement included stating the illustrations are not specifically indicative of what is expected in the area and are not binding. This will address unintended consequences in the future. As written, it might scare off developers. He would like Koelbel to be included in any future discussions of the design guideline changes.

Bernard Funk, 1104 Hillside Lane, asked if there were still any plan for residential west of McCaslin Boulevard on Centennial Parkway. If yes, he would like neighbors to be involved in design guidelines to help protect the properties surrounding new development. He expressed concern about school overcrowding.

Director Zuccaro stated the new residential west of McCaslin Boulevard has been removed from the Plan. Councilmember Loo clarified the area on Centennial Parkway is zoned for commercial. She asked Mr. Funk if he would prefer residential or commercial in that area. Mr. Funk stated he is supportive of commercial in that area, but if we are entertaining anything else he would like some input.

Curtis Paxton, 383 Meeker Court, asked the Plan clearly state there be a maximum height of two-story buildings in the area east of McCaslin Boulevard. Director Zuccaro confirmed that is what is in the Plan.

Ronald Heffner, 1132 Hillside Lane, stated his concerns are maintaining the value of his home, the severity of the traffic on McCaslin Boulevard, not having residential in the area southwest of his home.

Mayor Muckle closed the public hearing.

Mayor Muckle thanked everyone who has been engaged in this process through many versions and changes. He supports the Plan as presented tonight. He understand the concerns of Koelbel and Company and feels those can be addressed.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton asked about the pedestrian signal on McCaslin Boulevard and how long it will stop traffic to allow a pedestrian to cross six lanes. Director Zuccaro stated he did not have a time estimate. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated his concern is how long it will hold up traffic particularly at rush hour.

Councilmember Maloney also thanked staff for their work. He appreciates the additional TOD language in the Plan. He added he would also like to see the pedestrian signal removed. He agrees with Mr. Sheets that the illustrations can feel prescriptive to developers and should be considered. He supports approving the Plan tonight.

Mayor Muckle noted the signal could be addressed in the budgeting process and he prefers to leave it to make a statement about the importance of walkability in the area.

Councilmember Keany noted there are no trail connections near that pedestrian signal so he feels it can be removed from the Plan without great impact. He supports the Plan as presented tonight. He hoped there will be ways to address Mr. Sheets' concerns through the design guideline update.

Attorney Light stated the Plan does include language noting the maps and illustrations are conceptual and are not intended to show the locations or designs of improvements.

Councilmember Loo stated the illustrations do send a message and they are confusing. She noted this Plan is not realistic for a 20-year plan. She hopes with the next comp plan we can fix this. It sends a contrary message to someone by stating we want some things but don't give tools to get things done. Councilmember Loo stated just because the Plan has commercial not residential it will still generate traffic. In fact, it may generate more at peak hours.

Councilmember Leh thanked residents for hanging in with the process which has been long and arduous. He thinks there is good consensus for land uses on the east side of McCaslin Boulevard. Perhaps there is less consensus regarding the design guidelines and we will need wide participation on those when we create them. He stated we don't have any idea of how development will really take place on the west side of McCaslin Boulevard and what the fiscal impact will be, and we should be honest about that. He still has questions about the message this Plan sends to residents and developers; the Plan does not provide any incentive for development in that area. He does support the plan as presented but with the knowledge that it is not perfect. We have to be honest we aren't sending a message that we want TOD or other real development right now.

Mayor Muckle moved to approve Resolution No. 6, Series 2017, Councilmember Keany seconded.

Councilmember Maloney stated he would like better illustrations to send a more clear message. Director Zuccaro stated the design principals included in the Plan feed into the design guidelines. If the illustrations were removed the concern would be losing the concepts. Councilmember Maloney stated he can leave the language.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton made a friendly amendment: add date on page 5, legend on page 23 needs TOD removed, instead of leaving the crossing just leave it as future crossing with no signal. Mayor Muckle and Councilmember Keany agreed.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated he sees a lot to like in the Plan, particularly the east side of McCaslin Boulevard and it represented the citizen well. Until Sam's Club is redeveloped we don't really know what we need over there. This is a strong supplement to the comp plan. It is not perfect but we need to have some finality to this knowing this will be looked at again with the comp plan.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she appreciates the community input and the compromises made between different parties and she feels this is a good step forward.

Councilmember Loo made a friendly amendment to remove all of the language that states "this is a plan for the next 20 years." Mayor Muckle declined to accept the

amendment. Mayor Pro Tem Lipton noted regardless of the language this is the current vision and it will be updated when the Comp Plan is next updated.

Attorney Light reviewed the motion to approve Resolution No. 6, Series 2017 and amendments and how it changes the resolution to add conditions:

1. The cover page be re-dated to March 7, 2017.
2. March 7, 2017 be inserted for the date on page 5 of the Plan.
3. The mid-block pedestrian signal shown on McCaslin Blvd. be removed from the maps on pages 23, 25 and 26 and the improvements table on page 35, and be replaced with a general reference to potential pedestrian crossing in that general location.
4. The transit oriented development reference be deleted from the map legend on page 23.

Mayor Muckle asked for roll call vote.

Vote: Motion passed (**summary:** Yes = 6, No = 1, Abstain = 0).

Yes: Council Member Keany, Mayor Pro Tem Lipton, Council Member Stolzmann, Council Member Maloney, Council Member Leh, Mayor Muckle.

No: Council Member Loo.

THE COLLECTIVE – MODERN WORKSPACES AT THE CTC – AMENDMENT TO THE CTC GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN, FINAL PUD, AND FINAL PLAT

ORDINANCE NO. 1733, SERIES 2017 – AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CTC GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (GDP) TO ALLOW FOR LIMITED COMMERCIAL USES ON LOTS 11 AND 12, BLOCK 1 CTC FILING NO. 1– 2ND READING – PUBLIC HEARING (ADVERTISED DAILY CAMERA 2/26/17)

RESOLUTION NO. 12, SERIES 2017 – A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FINAL PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) AND A FINAL PLAT TO ALLOW FOR THREE FLEX-SPACE BUILDINGS TOTALING 85,520 SQUARE FEET ON THREE LOTS AND TWO TRACTS; LOTS 11 AND 12, BLOCK 1, CTC FILING NO. 1

Attorney Light introduced the ordinance by title noting this is a second reading; related is the resolution. He added this is a public hearing.

Mayor Muckle opened the public hearing.

Planner Dean stated the applicant proposes that The Collective – Modern Workspaces at the CTC be a group of three flex space buildings, ranging from 25,540 square feet to

29,645 square feet. The property is zoned PCZD-Industrial (PCZD-I) and is subject to the CTC General Development Plan (GDP). The property is among several properties on the north side of the CTC near Empire Road where the GDP requires compliance with the Commercial Development Design Standards (CDDSG) rather than the Industrial Development Design Standards (IDDSG). The applicant requests an amendment to the GDP to allow commercial uses in up to 5,929 sq. ft. of Building 1, which totals 20% of the gross floor area of that building. The applicant also submitted a plat to subdivide the property into three lots and two tracts. She noted the applicant has requested waivers for site coverage and parking standards. All conditions set from the Planning Commission have been met.

Staff recommends approval of both the ordinance and the resolution.

Mayor Muckle called for an applicant presentation.

Brian Ojala, Entasis Group, architect and owner of the project stated the hope for this group of buildings is to bring together many different businesses. He designed it for a campus setting, nice views, indoor/outdoor lifestyle, low impact and pedestrian accessibility. He showed various renderings of the proposed campus.

Mayor Muckle asked for public comment. None was heard.

Councilmember Stolzmann asked how the easement affects the open space and how the building elevations and grading meet the height standards. Planner Dean showed the easements do not affect the City open space. Director Zuccaro stated height calculations for the building do affect the building height but it is actually lowering the building not raising it.

Councilmember Maloney asked about the parking waiver and if granting it would cause parking problems in the future. Planner Dean noted the majority of this site is office and industrial while the CDDSG is being applied because of the small retail component. Staff feels they will be fine for parking.

Public comment. None.

Councilmember Maloney moved to approve Ordinance No. 1733, Series 2017, Councilmember Loo seconded.

Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (**summary:** Yes = 7).

Yes: Mayor Pro Tem Lipton, Council Member Maloney, Council Member Loo, Council Member Keany, Mayor Muckle, Council Member Stolzmann, Council Member Leh.

Councilmember Maloney moved to approve Resolution No. 12, Series 2017, seconded by Councilmember Council member Loo. Voice vote: all in favor.

RESOLUTION NO. 14, SERIES 2017 – A RESOLUTION APPROVING A PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PUD) AMENDMENT AND SPECIAL REVIEW USE (SRU) AMENDMENT TO ALLOW FOR A MODIFICATION OF INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR SPACES AT 817 MAIN STREET

Planner Trice stated the applicant is requesting approval of a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Amendment and Special Review Use (SRU) Amendment to allow for the reconstruction of the historic façade of 817 Main Street, including a 120 SF covered porch, and enclosure of 295 SF of the second floor outdoor patio area. The proposal includes a reduction in the outdoor area on the second floor and the creation of a first floor porch facing Main Street. The City approved a conservation easement amendment for the historic façade in 2015 (Resolution No. 87, Series 2015). However, if City Council wants to approve this proposal, the Council must also approve a PUD amendment for the façade modifications.

Planner Trice noted the request does comply with the approved Conservation Easement Amendment and it restores the recessed entry, removes the existing marquee, and allows for flexibility in building signage

Staff recommends a condition to the Special Review Use that the patio lighting shall include down-cast soffit lighting with full cutoff fixtures with a maximum light level of 1500 lumens per light and string-lights with a maximum level of 900 lumens per lamp.

Staff recommends approval with the following conditions:

1. Agreement for staircase in right-of-way
2. Compliance with Conservation Easement Amendment
3. Include Special Review Use conditions on plans
4. Include updated parking calculation on plans

Public Comment – None.

Councilmember Keany moved to approve Resolution No. 14, Series 2017.
Councilmember Maloney second.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she believes all the criteria have been met.
Councilmember Maloney and Mayor Muckle thanked the Planning Commission for their work on this.

Voice vote: all in favor.

RESOLUTION NO. 15, SERIES 2017 – A RESOLUTION SETTING CERTAIN WATER, WASTEWATER, STORMWATER, AND OTHER FEES, RATES, AND CHARGES FOR THE CITY OF LOUISVILLE, COLORADO

Councilmember Keany noted the Utility Committee has reviewed the proposed rate increase and recommends approval.

Director Kowar stated similar to the prior rate analysis, staff evaluated and presented two adjustment options, a “Just-in-Time” scenario where required increases are initiated in the year they are needed regardless of amount, and a “Smoothing” scenario where required increases are averaged out to minimize large jumps in increases and to distribute increases over time. The City Council has historically preferred rate smoothing. The Utility Committee recommends continuing to use the Smoothing scenario as well as the staff proposed rate increases.

To provide sufficient revenue to properly operate and maintain the City’s water, wastewater and stormwater utility systems, including debt coverage requirements and financial policies on cash reserves, staff recommends increasing wastewater utility rates by 7% and stormwater utility rates by 4%. Staff does not recommend increasing water utility rates at this time as we expect the current water rates to generate sufficient revenue for the next year. If approved as proposed, the new rates would become effective May 1, 2017. Residents will see an average increase of \$2 per month.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she is comfortable with the 2017 rates presented but would like to see more of the Just in Time approach for future years so we aren’t collecting so much money before it appears to be needed. She encouraged continuing to evaluate the capital projects in the out years to determine the correct year to proceed with them.

Councilmember Maloney stated he supports the proposal. He noted the Utility Committee looks at the performance metrics but not the finances, and the Finance Committee looks at the finances without the metrics. He would like to see Council do a better job of coordinating those efforts for context.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated through the smoothing we may be collecting more than by the Just in time method and perhaps we should look at alternatives so we aren’t collecting money before we need it.

Attorney Light noted that we need to convert the rate percentages to the actual rate tables. Staff will bring that back with the resolution at the next meeting.

Councilmember Stolzmann moved to direct staff to bring back the resolution with rate tables based on the proposed 2017 rate increase percentages. Councilmember Loo seconded. Voice vote: all in favor

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION – COAL CREEK GOLF COURSE STRATEGIC PLAN

Director Stevens introduced the item stating with the flood the City was able to rebuild the golf course as a great community resource. This plan lays out how the course can move forward.

David Baril, head golf professional, stated a committee was established to write this plan. It included himself, former Golf Course Advisory Board Members Michele Van Pelt and Perry Nelson, and Golf Superintendent David Dean.

David Baril reviewed the SWOT analysis, detailing the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats related to the golf course identified in the planning process.

Strengths – Location/Visibility, Terrain & Design, Personnel, Marketing, Restaurant, Youth-Senior-Couples Programming, Value to Community, Unique redesign features.

Weaknesses – Clubhouse & Golf Course Maintenance facilities, Marketing, Staff levels, Course conditions, pace of play, Putting Green and Punch Bowl, width of paths, organizational structure.

Opportunities – Financial focus, proximity to affluent market and business community, expansion of functions and outings, enhanced golf service, location.

Threats – Competition, Economy, Golf Course conditions, success of restaurant.

Perry Nelson presented the vision for the Course to make the course more entrepreneurial, innovative while at the same time being pragmatic. He reviewed the mission the plan sets forth.

David Baril reviewed the action plan items to expand outreach and programs identified in the strategic plan.

Michele Van Pelt gave closing comments regarding the plan noted the golf course is a valuable City resource and an asset to the City. After rebuilding the golf course we are now at a junction; what now. The question is what is the City Council's vision of the course; is it business as usual or taking the course in a new, exciting, and innovative direction. With some creative thinking Coal Creek can thrive. She urged Council to adopt this plan to allow the golf course to excel.

Councilmember Maloney stated his support for the plan. The golf course is a unique enterprise for the City and needs an entrepreneurial spirit. He stated he shares the vision of the committee for the golf course. He asked in what way the City Council can help the plan move forward.

David Baril stated his wish list would be 1) an advertising sign on Hwy 36 and 2) a year-round training facility. Perry Nelson added there could be changes in structure that could help encourage the growth of the course.

Councilmember Leh asked what staffing changes are needed to achieve these goals. Mr. Nelson stated there is a lot of financial reporting that could be streamlined. Mr. Baril

added that the course allowing the hiring of seasonal staff for up to nine months has been a great change and a big help. Mr. Nelson would like to see Mr. Baril have more decision making authority.

Councilmember Stolzmann noted the goals and objectives for each department, including the golf course are set in the budget and that should drive the golf program. She asked if this topic could be added to a study session agenda.

Councilmember Loo agreed this should be a study session item. She stated fees should be examined to see if they are too high compared to our competitors. She expressed concern this is trying to make our course a private course and losing our municipal course feeling.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton felt this was a great foundation but stated he would like to see more detailed actionable items to discuss and the costs associated with them. He would also like the Finance Department and City Manager to review the plan for how it affects the City as a whole.

Mayor Muckle agreed with Mayor Pro Tem Lipton; we need to look more at action items and costs. The conversation will continue at a later date.

DISCUSSION/DIRECTION/ACTION – HECLA CASINO BUILDING & SEEDS PARTNERSHIP REQUEST

Scott Simkus, 941 West Maple Court, CEO and Founder of Social Equity Economic Development for Sustainability (SEEDS) presented his request for a public-private partnership with the City, as well as financial assistance, to preserve the historic Hecla Casino building, specifically to relocate the building from 1800 Plaza Drive to the proposed 1528 Main Street site prior to August 2017

APPLICANT PRESENTATION

Mr. Simkus stated the budget for the project is approximately \$1.2 million. He hopes to get collaboration from a variety of entities in addition to the City. He stated his hope the building would serve as a non-profit educational center. He noted the timeline that needs to be met to avoid demolition of the building. He addressed the challenges and proposed solutions in moving the building.

The end use is an office for SEEDS and other nonprofit organizations and a Sustainability Center for educational seminars, community events, student projects, and more.

Mayor Muckle asked for public comments.

Jean Morgan, 1131 Spruce Street, stated the building is full of Louisville history. She is supportive of the project and encouraged the Council to help save the building and make it an entry post on Main Street.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated the building is definitely historic and worthy of saving, however the City does not have general fund money for the project and the Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) money is not eligible for this project. She asked if the Old Town Overlay could be amended and if so, how quickly HPF funds could be made available.

Mayor Muckle agreed the building should be saved but it will have to be done from the HPF if that can be allowed.

Director Zuccaro stated if HPF could be used this would require a hearing with the HPC and issues related to the maximum amount available. Would have to change how matching funds would be applied or addressed.

Attorney Light stated staff would need direction to look at the ballot language and how the maps and zoning definition of the Overlay language would be applied. The overlay was distinct when the ballot issue was passed, the question is if that can be changed without an election.

Councilmember Keany stated he doesn't know how all of the changes to zoning map and the ordinances could get done before July. Could the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) borrow money and pay it back after the ordinance changes have been made.

Councilmember Loo noted in the past the HPC has not recommended diminishing the fund greatly she wonders what the HPC recommendation would be and would Council be willing to overrule the HPC recommendation. She feels the Council is bypassing the HPC process. She noted for all the people who support this there are others who will feel it has been rammed through.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton stated his concern about who would backstop this project if there are cost overruns. At the end of this it may not be complete and the City might be asked to backfill the project. He also noted his concern about using General Fund money for this.

Councilmember Stolzmann stated this can't start with the HPC as staff needs direction if the boundaries can be change because if they can't the HPF can't be used. She noted that even if the HPF can be used there may be a \$250,000 limit in what can be granted.

Mr. Simkus stated he is confident SEEDS can raise the necessary money but the first priority is to secure the site that is now for sale. This is the last chance for this building.

Director Zuccaro noted the highest amount that can be granted is \$250,000 and it can be higher with matching funds and extraordinary circumstances.

Mayor Muckle stated there is no way to move fast enough to meet the needs of this project. He would like to ask the City Attorney to research if the overlay boundaries can be adjusted to include this property and at the same time begin discussions with the HPC regarding if this building would be recommended for funding.

Mayor Pro Tem Lipton suggested the building could be located on a temporary site and it be addressed with a ballot issue in November.

Councilmember Maloney asked if there are other similar buildings outside of the overlay that may need to also be addressed.

Mr. Simkus noted the first priority is the \$15,000 in earnest money to secure the property on Main Street and he asked if that can be accommodated.

Mayor Muckle stated it is not likely those funds can be accommodated in that time frame. Members concurred with Mayor Muckle's suggestion for review of the overlay and discussions with the HPC.

**ORDINANCE NO. 1734, SERIES 2017 – AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE
VACATION OF A 10 FOOT WIDE DRAINAGE EASEMENT ON LOTS 1, 16, AND 17,
BLOCK 2, COLORADO TECHNOLOGICAL CENTER, FILING NO. 1 – 1ST READING
– SET PUBLIC HEARING 3/21/17**

City Attorney Light introduced the ordinance by title on first reading. Mayor Muckle moved to approve the ordinance on first reading, ordered it published and set the public hearing for March 21. Councilmember Keany seconded the motion. Members voted 7-0 to approve.

**APPROVAL OF 6:00 PM START TIME FOR MARCH 21, 2017 CITY COUNCIL
MEETING FOR AN EXECUTIVE SESSION
*moved from consent agenda***

Councilmember Stolzmann stated she moved this item to the regular agenda as she would like the executive session to begin earlier to allow more discussion time or pick a separate date for a special meeting.

Mayor Muckle suggested a separate day.

Mayor Muckle moved to have a special meeting on Thursday, March 16 at 6:00 PM, City Hall, for an executive session. Councilmember Keany seconded. All in favor.

CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

None.

**COUNCIL COMMENTS, COMMITTEE REPORTS, AND IDENTIFICATION OF
FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

Councilmember Leh stated the Legal Committee is meeting next week and will discuss the 501c3 draft policy.

Mayor Muckle reported on the trip made to Washington DC. He felt it was productive.

ADJOURN

Members adjourned the meeting at 11:00 pm.

Robert P. Muckle, Mayor

Meredyth Muth, City Clerk